

**PRICE THREEPENCE**

ews-page148117







[illegible][illegible][illegible]

of the property would be most unjust and cruel. In this case it was not the widow or children who petitioned for the property, but the Government, and it was not clear that he was in business as a soda-water manufacturer. Queensland, and must be presumed to be in tolerably comfortable circumstances. It was not clear that the Government was for the benefit of the wife and children there would be some grounds for the application now made.

Mr. HOLLAND said it had certainly not been the practice of the Government to insist on a man to make the confessions, though it had been done in old times, at least, at a trial of hardship and misery. The present was a case of a man who had been convicted of a crime, and a terrible step to restore the balance remaining. It was not for the motion. As for the circumstance that the wife had been in prison, and was in a deplorable form, for if the wife had petitioned the money would go to her just the same.

Mr. HOLLAND said the hon. member (Mr. Sutcliffe) was quite right saying that confessions of property had not been made for twenty years. He could cite two cases the hon. member must recollect, that of Pinley, whose wife had been convicted of sheep stealing, whose 400 head of cattle and horses were seized and sold by the Government.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the wife had been married to the hon. member for Parramatta, but they occurred half a century ago, and not ten or eleven as had been stated. The property in those cases was confiscated, but afterwards given to the wife.

Mr. HOLLAND said the cases referred to by the hon. member for East Macquarie were cases in which the property was not confiscated, but was given to the wife by Parliament.

Mr. HOLLAND said if the Government considered that Mr. Cockrell ought to receive the balance of the proceeds of the sale of the property he had no doubt they would proceed to do so. He would not object to the property being confiscated by the Government upon the understanding that Mr. Cockrell had assumed that property by receiving an order from the Government to that effect.

Mr. HOLLAND said that Mr. Cockrell 25 was serving for a considerable time while his husband was seeking his sentence, and there was now only some £300 remaining. He thought the matter should be left in the hands of the Government, as he should certainly vote with them. He did not think that the Government would be justified in giving him any of the functions that belonged to it.

Mr. W. F. FORSTER said he had voted against the former motion in reference to Cockrell's case, on account of the fact that he was not a man to be trusted. He had a question moved that had been settled in a Court of law. He did not recollect saying that he would vote for such a motion as the hon. member for Parramatta had moved. He would vote for a motion to appoint a committee to enquire into the way the property had been disposed of, because it might have been disposed of in a different manner, and it was a very different motion. If he felt disposed to support that motion he should be put on his guard by the tone adopted by some hon. members, especially the hon. member for East Macquarie, who had said that the property was the property of the innocence or guilt of Cockrell. That was the view taken by the hon. and rev. member for West Sydney, Mr. Dalgleish. By giving some attention to the question since it was last discussed, and through listening attentively to the speech of the hon. member for East Macquarie, Mr. Cockrell. The defence proved too much. Being himself so fully convinced of the identity of the articles he felt called upon to vote for the motion. He was not prepared to defend, and upon whose evidence the hon. member for East Macquarie relied, were grossly ignorant or perjured. He had no objection in saying that the jury came to a right conclusion, and that the property was the property of the Government had not seen any reason, however, that justice should be inflicted upon an innocent person, or that an improper person should be put in possession of the property. He had never heard that Mr. Cockrell had been guilty of the injustice of the Government in regard to the property. He was not prepared to say that it was not, but that the confiscation of the goods of criminals operated with great injustice on innocent parties. It was to his mind also objectionable, because it was a violation of the principle of justice. He was not prepared to suppose to be sufficient, as in some cases where the offence was a constitutional character was this, that the exercise of the powers of confiscation, the property was one of the articles of the property, which was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner.

Mr. HOLLAND said that the property was the property of the Government, and that the property was the property of the Government. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it. He was a barbarian during those ancient times when criminals were dealt with in a different manner. He was not prepared to say that it was not wise to retain it

[illegible]



[illegible]















MINISTERIO DE LA GUERRA. - 1914.

[illegible]

F. Pearson	0	0	10	J. Bekhus	..	0	5	9
F. Pearson, junior	0	0	6	J. Midolf	..	0	2	6
F. Peosa, Jr.	0	0	0	G. Pearson	..	0	2	6
T. Harvey	0	0	0	Watman	..	0	1	6
P. Hellms	0	0	0					
John Harte	0	0	0					
Mrs. Roberts	Layard F.	collected by						
Mrs. L. P. Layard	1	0	0	Kuma Jassat	..	0	2	6
Master Layard	0	0	2					
Master Layard	0	0	2					
Campbell, M. M., and establishment								
M. M. Campbell	5	7	7	John McKay	..	0	2	0
W. G. Aspinall	0	10	0	R. Wadby	..	0	2	0
Joseph W. Aspinall	0	0	0	H. Dunn	..	0	2	0
W. Anderson	0	0	0	John Scott	..	0	2	0
John Gibson	0	0	2	R. Donaldson	..	0	2	0
John Burke	0	0	2	W. Hill	..	0	2	0
George Hunter	0	0	2	Robert Hunter	..	0	2	0
James Hunter	0	0	2	Robert Hig	..	0	1	0
Abraham Bird	0	0	2	James Pipe	..	0	1	0
James Bird	0	0	2	G. B. Miller	..	0	1	0
George Simson	0	0	2	Frances Fleming	..	0	1	0
James Lowe	0	0	2	John Earle	..	0	1	0
James Carr	0	0	2	Henry Pogon	..	0	1	0
John McKellar	0	0	2	James Decker	..	0	1	0
J. Telfer	0	0	2	A. O'Brien	..	0	1	0
P. France	0	0	2	W. Earle	..	0	1	0
Adam Scott	0	0	2	Charles Smith	..	0	1	0
Thomas Hardy	0	0	2	C. Glat	..	0	0	6
Daniel Mitchell	0	0	2	W. Fleming	..	0	0	6
Joe Lafferty	0	0	2	Charles Keenan	..	0	0	6
Jack Brinkworth	0	0	2	E. Harris	..	0	0	6
James Reid	0	0	2	Charles Harrie	..	0	0	6
B. D. Hill	0	0	2	Hanley	..	0	0	6
Thomas Smith	0	0	2					
W. McCullum	0	0	2					
Fraser, John and Co., and their establishment.								
J. Fraser, and Co.	25	9	0	John Parker	..	0	18	0
Robert Lee	0	0	0	Richard Taylor	..	0	10	0
W. H. Richards	2	2	0	William Swanson	..	0	10	0
F. J. Brown	2	2	0	James Riley	..	0	10	0
W. A. Weston	0	0	0	Charles Madmont	..	0	10	0
James Allerton	1	12	6	L. Delly	..	0	10	0
T. C. Robinson	1	1	0	James Barwell	..	0	10	0
R. K. Walstein-holme	1	1	0	William Miligan	..	0	10	0
J. Spragg	0	0	0	James Brown	..	0	10	0
Goodell and Smith, and establishment.								
G. Goodell and Smith	5	5	0	Charles Stratton	..	0	2	6
J. Spalding	0	10	0	George Price	..	0	2	6
J. F. Horn	0	0	0	Benjamin Howe	..	0	1	0
J. Park	0	0	0	Richd. Furling	..	0	1	0
John Westcott	0	10	0	Alfred Calvert	..	0	2	6
Hugh Gilmore	0	0	0	John Fitzpatrick	..	0	1	0
John Wilson	0	0	0	John Wilson	..	0	1	0
James Douglas	0	0	0	S. Holiday	..	0	1	0
E. W. Reynolds	0	0	0	G. Maxton	..	0	1	0
James Caird	0	0	0	Charles Madmont	..	0	1	0
James Cathetz	0	0	0	H. Watt	..	0	1	0
George Holt	0	0	0	H. J. Henry	..	0	1	0
George Withers	0	0	0	O. Suter	..	0	1	0
William Pearson	0	1	0					
Brown, T. J., and establishment.								
M. R. T. Brown	0	0	0	Mr. Dorman	..	0	5	0
C. Brown	0	10	6	D. Morison	..	0	5	0
G. A. Hewitt	0	0	0	F. Danby	..	0	5	0
E. W. Brown	0	0	0	E. E. Brown	..	0	2	6
J. White	0	10	6	D. Danohoe	..			

[illegible]